1	PATRICK D. ROBBINS (CABN 152288)		
2	Acting United States Attorney MATHEW W. PILE (WSBA 32245)		
	Associate General Counsel		
3	Office of Program Litigation, Office 7 LORI A. LOOKLISS (MICHIGAN BAR P64099)		
4	Special Assistant United States Attorney		
5	Office of Program Litigation, Office 7 Office of the General Counsel		
6	Social Security Administration		
7	6401 Security Boulevard Baltimore, MD 21235		
8	Telephone: (410) 966-3808		
9	lori.lookliss@ssa.gov		
10	Attorneys for Defendant		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14			
15	STEPHEN GAINES,	Civil No. 3:24-cv-09127-LJC	
16	Plaintiff,		
17	VS.		
18	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,		
19	Defendant.	STIPULATION AND <del>PROPOSED</del> OR	DER
20		EXTENDING DEFENDANT'S TIME RESOPNSE TO PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF	TO FILE
21			
22	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties through their respective		
23	counsel of record, with the Court's approval, that Defendant shall have a 30-day extension of		
24	time, from May 19, 2025 to June 18, 2025, for Defendant to respond to Plaintiff's Brief in		
25	Support of Reversal of the Decision of the Commissioner and Remand (Dkt. No. 14).		
26	This is Defendant's first request for an extension of time. Good cause exists for this		
27	Extension. Defendant is asking for more time to respond to Plaintiff's brief. Upon review of		
	STIPLIL ATION FOR EXTENSION AND PROPOSI	ED ORDER	Page 1

Case No. 3:24-cv-09127-LJC

23

24

25

26

27

Plaintiff's brief and the transcript, Defendant requires more time to consult with the client and Plaintiff regarding settlement options in this case and to file a responsive brief absent settlement. Therefore, Defendant requests an additional 30-day extension.

The Office of the General Counsel contacted Plaintiff's counsel, and there is no objection to this motion. This request is made in good faith and with no intention to unduly delay the proceedings, and counsel apologizes for any inconvenience. Defense counsel believes the requested extension should allow sufficient time to address the issues.

Plaintiff does not oppose Defendant's request for an extension of time. The parties further stipulate that the deadline for any reply by Plaintiff, if necessary, will be extended accordingly.

Pursuant to Section 5-1(i)(3) of the Civil Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, I certify that the content of this document is acceptable to counsel for Plaintiff and that I have obtained authorization from Jacob Robert's office, to affix their electronic signature to this document.

DATED May 14, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jacob Robert
JACOB ROBERT
(\*as authorized via email May 14, 2025)
Attorney for Plaintiff

PATRICK D. ROBBINS United States Attorney

By: s/ Lori A. Lookliss
LORI A. LOOKLISS
Special Assistant United States Attorney
Office of Program Litigation, Office 7

Attorneys for Defendant

## <u>ORDER</u>

Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO

ORDERED. Dated: May 15, 2025

THE HONOR ABLE LISA J. CISNEROS

United States Magistrate Judge